Transpedicular intracorporeal grafting for patients with thoracolumbar burst fractures
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To evaluate the efficacy of reinforcing short-segment fixation with transpedicular intracorporeal grafting in restoring vertebral body height and preventing loss of correction in patients with thoracolumbar burst fractures.

Methods: This retrospective study was carried out from the Affiliated Hospital of Jiangsu University, Jiangsu, China. Forty-six patients with thoracolumbar burst fractures without neurological deficit were operatively treated between July 2008 and June 2011. Patients in group A (n=21) were treated by short-segment fixation with transpedicular intracorporeal grafting, whereas patients in group B (n=25) were treated by short-segment fixation without bone grafting. The preoperative, postoperative, and follow-up radiographs (anterior, middle, posterior vertebral height, and local kyphosis angle) were evaluated.

Results: All 46 patients in both groups obtained satisfactory reduction of fractured vertebrae. There were no significant differences between the 2 groups according to the improvement of body height and local kyphosis angle. However, correction losses were observed in both groups. Less losses of anterior body height (p=0.0007), middle body height (p<0.0001), and local kyphosis angle (p=0.0447) were detected in group A than group B. The rate of failure in group A (4.8%) was significantly lower than that in group B (28.0%) (p=0.0383).

Conclusion: Reinforcement of short-segment fixation with transpedicular intracorporeal grafting can effectively restore vertebral body height and prevent loss of correction for patients with thoracolumbar burst fractures.
Thoracolumbar burst fractures are common spinal injuries in clinical practice. Almost 90% of spinal fractures involve the thoracolumbar region, and approximately 10-20% of such injuries are burst fractures.\(^1\text{–}^3\) Surgical intervention is a more appropriate choice of treatment for thoracolumbar burst fractures, because it can effectively correct kyphotic deformity, provide initial stability, allow early mobilization, and be associated with minimal risk of complications.\(^4\) Short-segment transpedicular fixation has been a popular method for thoracolumbar fractures since the introduction of pedicle screws.\(^5\) It provides powerful reduction of vertebral body height and local lordosis, as well as indirect reduction of the intracanal fragment.\(^6\) Nevertheless, several studies reported unacceptable failure rates of short-segment transpedicular fixation of thoracolumbar burst fractures.\(^7\text{–}^8\) Loss of correction and instrumentation breakage is due to failure to support the anterior spinal column.\(^9\) In 1986, Daniaux\(^10\) first developed the transpedicular bone grafting technique to achieve intrabody fusion. Transpedicular intracorporeal grafting in addition to short-segment fixation maybe a possible solution to prevent failure. However, there is considerable controversy regarding the transpedicular intracorporeal grafting.

In this study, we applied short-segment fixation with/without transpedicular intracorporeal grafting to treat thoracolumbar burst fractures without neurological deficit, and compared the 2 methods to evaluate the efficiency of transpedicular intracorporeal grafting in restoring vertebral height and preventing loss of correction.

**Methods.** This retrospective case-control study was carried out from the Affiliated Hospital of Jiangsu University, Jiangsu, China. Between July 2008 and June 2011, 79 consecutive patients with thoracolumbar burst fractures were operatively treated in our department. Of these 79 patients, 46 cases were enrolled in our study. Study inclusion was limited to neurologically intact patients in the T11-L2 (AO Type: A3-B2). The other 33 patients were excluded for the following reasons: neurological deficit, 2 or more vertebral fractures, pathological fractures, osteoporotic vertebral fractures, previous lower limb dysfunction, lost to follow-up, medical disease could not tolerate surgery, and a decision by the surgeon responsible for the patient’s care not to include the patients in this study. Loss of anterior vertebral body height exceeding 50%, or sagittal index exceeding 15° was an indication for surgery.

Group A (21 patients) were treated by short-segment pedicle fixation and transpedicular intracorporeal grafting, and group B (25 patients) were treated by short-segment instrumentation without transpedicular grafting. Short-segment pedicle screw (Kanghui Medical Instrument, Changzhou, China) fixation was performed in all 46 patients. Transpedicular intracorporeal grafting was performed similar to that described in our previous study\(^11\) for patients in group A. Briefly, the pedicle screws were inserted into the vertebrae above and below the fractured vertebra, then the rods with appropriate length were contoured and connected with the screws. The fractured vertebra was reduced by interbody distractor or compressor. One rod was removed, and the Jack vertebral dilator (Xinrong Best Medical Instrument, Suzhou, China) was placed in the anterior half of the fractured vertebra through the pedicle, then the fractured vertebra was dilated gradually and a cavity was formed (Figure 1). The calcium sulfate pellets (OSTEOSET*PELLET INJECTOR, Wright Medical Technology, Arlington, VA, USA) were injected into the cavity. Then, the rod was reinstalled. Intracorporeal grafting for the other side was carried out in the same fashion. Decompression of the dural sac was not performed in all 46 patients because they all were neurologically intact. All patients were encouraged to walk under the protection of the brace after 6-8 weeks bedrest postoperatively. The fixation was routinely removed in all 46 cases within 12 months after surgery. The preoperative, postoperative, and follow-up radiographs were evaluated. The anterior body height, middle body height, posterior body height, and local kyphosis angle (LKA) were measured according to the methods by several other authors\(^12\text{–}^14\) at pre-, postoperatively, and final follow-up. The measurement methods of body height and LKA are shown in Figure 2. The data were compared between the 2 groups. Failure was defined as an increase of ≥10° in LKA in final follow-up radiographs compared with the measurement in the immediately postoperative radiographs, or implant failure.

The Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Jiangsu University approved our study. The protocol of the study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and all study participants provided both written and verbal informed consent.

**Disclosure.** Authors have no conflict of interests, and the work was not supported or funded by any drug company.
Statistical analyses were carried out using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences System Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Quantitative variables (age, follow-up period, vertebral body height, and LKA) were analyzed using Student’s t-test, and categorical variables (gender, fracture level, and failure rate) were analyzed by the χ² test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

**Results.** All 46 patients of both groups were followed up. The average age of group A was 38.3±16.2, and of group B was 37.1±12.0 years. There were 7 males and 14 females in group A, and 12 males and 13 females in group B. The average follow-up of group A was 27.3±11.1 months, and 28.5±10.9 months in group B. In group A, there were 5 fractures in T12, 15 fractures in L1, and one fracture in L2. In group B, there were 2 fractures in T11, 9 fractures in T12, 13 fractures in L1, and one fracture in L2. There were no significant differences between the 2 groups according to age (p=0.7735), gender (p=0.3143), follow-up period (p=0.7078), and distribution of the level of the fractures (p=0.3978).

In group A, the average preoperative/postoperative/final follow-up anterior body height was 54.5%/100%/97.2%, the preoperative/postoperative/final follow-up middle body height was 62.7%/96.4%/94.2%, and the preoperative/postoperative/final follow-up posterior body height was 84.9%/99.9%/99.9%. The average preoperative/postoperative/final follow-up LKA were 20.8°/7.0°/11.4°. At the final follow-up, the loss of anterior body height was 2.8±1.3% (p=0.0365), and the loss of correction of LKA was 4.4±0.8° (p<0.0001). There was no significant loss of middle (p=0.1096) and posterior body height (p=0.9759) at the final follow-up. In the group B, the average preoperative/postoperative/final follow-up anterior body height was 52.4%/96.9%/83.3%, the preoperative/postoperative/final follow-up middle body height was 65.6%/94.6%/81.6%, and the preoperative/postoperative/final follow-up posterior body height was 85.2%/97.5%/95.2%. The average preoperative/postoperative/final follow-up LKA were 24.6°/10.0°/17.6°. At the final follow-up, the loss of anterior body height was 13.6±2.6% (p<0.0001), of middle body height was 13.0±2.0% (p<0.0001), and posterior body height was 2.3±0.9% (p=0.0183). The loss of correction of LKA was 7.6±1.4° (p<0.0001).

There were no significant differences between the 2 groups according to the preoperative anterior body height (p=0.4472), middle body height (p=0.2528), posterior body height (p=0.9140), and LKA (p=0.0631) (Table 1). There were no significant differences between the 2 groups according to the improvement of anterior body height (p=0.7560), middle body height...
(p=0.0826), posterior body height (p=0.3603), and LKA (p=0.6640). There were no significant differences between the 2 groups according to the loss of posterior body height (p=0.0708), whereas there were significant differences between the 2 groups according to loss of anterior body height (p=0.0007), middle body height (p<0.0001), and LKA (p=0.0447). More details were shown in Table 2 and Figure 3.

One patient had a correction loss of >10° (LKA) in group A, with a 4.8% failure rate, whereas 7 patients had a correction loss of >10° (LKA) in group B with a 28% failure rate. One patient had a screw dislodgement accompanied with the biggest correction loss of 31° (LKA) in group B. The difference between the 2 groups according to failure rate was statistically significant (p=0.0383). In addition, a bone defect was observed in almost all cases of group B and instant pain disturbed one patient whose MRI indicated the nonunion of fracture after implant removal.

Table 1 - Preoperative radiographic parameters in the 2 groups of patients with thoracolumbar burst fractures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Body height (%)</th>
<th>Local kyphosis angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A, n=21</td>
<td>54.5 ± 11.2</td>
<td>62.7 ± 8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B, n=25</td>
<td>52.4 ± 6.2</td>
<td>65.6 ± 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.4472</td>
<td>0.2528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no significant differences between the 2 groups according to the preoperative anterior body height, middle body height, posterior body height, and local kyphosis angle.

Table 2 - Postoperative and final follow-up radiographic parameters in the 2 groups of patients with thoracolumbar burst fractures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Anterior body height (%)</th>
<th>Middle body height (%)</th>
<th>Posterior body height (%)</th>
<th>Local kyphosis angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>Lost</td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>Lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A, n=21</td>
<td>45.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.0365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B, n=25</td>
<td>44.5 ± 2.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>13.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.7560</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0826</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P - postoperative versus preoperative, P' - final follow-up versus postoperative, P'' - group A versus group B

Figure 3 - An image from a 35-year-old male showing: A) preoperative lateral radiograph indicated a burst fracture of L1 (arrow); B) immediate postoperative lateral radiograph demonstrated excellence height restoration; C) lateral radiograph 3 months after surgery; and D) lateral radiograph at final follow-up indicated no loss of correction. An image from a 36-year-old-female showing: E) preoperative lateral radiograph indicated a burst fracture of T11 (arrow); F) immediate postoperative lateral radiograph indicated an excellent restoration of height (white line) and correction of local kyphosis angle (LKA [α]), however, lateral radiograph 3 months after surgery (G) (arrow, white line), and lateral radiograph at final follow-up (H) demonstrated a collapse of fractured vertebra (arrow, white line) and a correction loss of LKA [α].
Discussion. In this study, we compared 2 treatments for thoracolumbar burst fractures without neurological deficit: short-segment pedicle fixation with transpedicular intracorporeal grafting, and short-segment pedicle fixation individually. The findings demonstrated the corrections of fractured vertebrae were satisfactory in both groups, and reinforcement of short-segment fixation with transpedicular intracorporeal grafting can effectively prevent loss of correction for patients with thoracolumbar burst fractures, indicated that transpedicular intracorporeal grafting was a safe and useful spinal surgical technique.

In group B, the failure rate was 28%, which was consistent with several previous reports.5,15,16 The losses of anterior body height (13.6±2.6%), middle body height (13.0±2.0%), and LKA (7.6±1.4°) were significant. It has been speculated that the large bone defect created inside the fractured vertebra after height restoration was the most important cause of failure.16,17 The loss of correction usually occurred within 3 months after surgery. It may be related to increased activity on standing after 6-8 weeks of bedrest. In addition, the intravertebral vacuum located below the upper endplate was observed in almost all patients in group B at final follow-up. So, we predicted that re-fracture, further collapse, and kyphotic deformity would occur due to lack of support to the anterior column of fractured vertebrae.

In group A, there were no losses of correction, but a minimal loss of anterior body height (2.8±1.3%), and the failure rate was as low as 4.8%. Inconsistent with a previous report,16 our results suggested that transpedicular intracorporeal grafting could effectively prevent loss of correction and failure of fixation. The possible reasons might be 6-8 weeks bedrest postoperatively, brace protection, and enough support in the anterior column due to sufficient bone grafted into the cavity created by the Jack vertebral dilator. In our series, almost all 46 affected vertebrae could usually be satisfactorily reduced with the posterior pedicle screws fixation. The Jack vertebral dilator we used in the transpedicular intracorporeal grafting procedure in the group A not only plays a role in better fracture reduction, but also creates a cavity of around 4.0-5.0 cc. The cavity enabled the insertion of sufficient grafted bone to ensure anterior column support and fracture healing. The Jack vertebral dilator has such advantages as follows: first, the shape of cavity created by the Jack vertebral dilator is regular so sufficient grafted bone can easily be injected into it.18 Second, the Jack vertebral dilator can reduce the isolated fracture fragments of the upper endplate, which usually cannot be reduced by distraction of the transpedicular screws. Therefore, correction of middle body height is 33.8% in group A, whereas it is 29% in group B, though there was no difference (p=0.0826) between the 2 groups.

Several other methods were applied to prevent the loss of correction. Cho et al19 considered that reinforcing short-segment fixation with polymethyl methacrylate vertebroplasty could achieve and maintain kyphosis correction, but incomplete posterior wall of burst fractured vertebra may increase the risk of bone cement leakage. Knop et al20 performed a retrospective study to evaluate the efficiency of posterior short-segment instrumentation combined with intervertebral fusion via transpedicular intervertebral grafting. They considered that the addition of transpedicular intercorporeal grafting did not decrease the loss of correction, and the intervertebral fusion rate was too low (34%) to accept. They thought the unacceptable low fusion rate was related to relatively poor osteogenic potential in the vicinity of the incompletely evacuated intervertebral disc space. The transpedicular intracorporeal bone grafting we applied not only had a good osteogenic environment, but also retained the motion segments. Other authors reconstructed the anterior column through an anterior approach and reported minimal loss of sagittal alignment.21-23 However, the anterior approach is technically challenging and interbody fusion reduces the motion segment, though the fractured vertebral body can be exposed clearly for fracture reduction and bone grafting through an anterior approach. In addition, Tezeren et al5 thought the long-segment instrumentation was a more effective management than short-segment, whereas the long-segment fixation had prolonged operative time and increased blood loss.

Our study has certain limitations: (1) the small number of patients and short-term follow-up; (2) the lack of measurement of intervertebral disc space; (3) not randomized controlled trials; (4) the lack of comparison with other operative methods; (5) the lack of investigation of clinical outcomes. Talking into account these limitations, we plan to conduct strict research in the future for further elucidation.

In conclusion, on the basis of the current study outcomes, we propose that our approach can be safely applied, and transpedicular intracorporeal bone grafting can effectively prevent loss of correction and failure of short-segment fixation despite the study not being prospective, randomized, or double-blind. We believe that the indication and benefits of this operative method deserve further investigation.
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