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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate the incidence and diagnostic features of eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) in a group of children with dysphagia and gastroesophageal reflux disease.

Methods: This study was designed as a retrospective case analysis of 229 patients who underwent upper gastrointestinal endoscopy between January 2009 and January 2012 at a private tertiary care hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia to ascertain the proportion of patients with eosinophilic esophagitis.

Results: Out of 229 children, 14 children were diagnosed as EoE (esophageal eosinophil count more than 15/high power field on esophageal biopsies), but 3 children were excluded because of other associated conditions. There were 8 males and 3 females with a mean age of 8.4 years (<18 years). The major presentation was dysphagia followed by vomiting and epigastric pain. History of allergy and bronchial asthma was present in 40-50% of children. Peripheral eosinophilia was present in 36.3% (range 7-28%). Barium contrast was abnormal in 3 children. Typical ringed (circular) appearance of esophagus was found in 3, linear furrowing in 2, and moderate to severe esophagitis in 8 children. All the patients were managed with swallowed inhaled steroids, except 2 patients who were initially given systemic steroids.

Conclusion: Eosinophilic esophagitis is not an uncommon manifestation in children with dysphagia, and should be considered as one of the top differential diagnoses in patients with reflux esophagitis.


Disclosure. This study was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, College of Medicine Research Center, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.
Eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) is an immune/antigen-mediated inflammatory condition of the esophagus. The first ever description of EoE was reported by Landres et al. in the late 1970's as an association with eosinophilic gastroenteritis. It is an emerging and well-recognized disease in children and adults because of the recent better understanding of the biology of eosinophils, their functions, and association with various gastrointestinal disorders. Once considered a rare disorder, this entity is becoming increasingly more recognized, probably due to more awareness among physicians, or due to an actual increase in the incidence. Some studies clearly documented an increase in the incidence rather than more awareness among physicians. In most studies, males outnumber females. Continued and persistent exposure of the gastrointestinal tract to various antigens/pathogens, allergens, and food leads to recruitment of eosinophils throughout the GI tract except the esophagus, which is normally devoid of eosinophils. Eosinophilic esophagitis is the infiltration of esophageal mucosa with eosinophils, which contribute to tissue damage and chronic inflammation. Eosinophilic esophagitis is a clinico-pathological disorder, characterized by >15 eosinophils per high power field (HPF) in one or more esophageal biopsies in the absence of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). Infants and children with EoE present with feeding aversion, vomiting, regurgitation, epigastric pain, dysphagia, or food impaction. Older children and adults have more of dysphagia and food impaction with or without central chest pain. There is a strong association with other allergic disorders like bronchial asthma and allergic rhinitis in children with EoE. Although the symptoms are more or less similar to GERD, children with EoE are typically non-responders to anti-reflux medications including high-dose proton pump inhibitors (PPIs). Avoidance of allergens by dietary restriction as well as receiving steroids, either topical or systemic, has shown promising results. We conducted this study to observe the incidence and diagnostic features of EoE in children presenting with dysphagia and GERD-like symptoms.

Methods. This study was designed as a retrospective, descriptive, cross-sectional analysis of 229 patients who underwent upper GI endoscopy between January 2009 and January 2012 at a private tertiary care hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia to investigate the proportion of EoE in this group. The data were retrieved from electronic records and files of the patients, and mainly focused on demographic information, frequency of symptoms, clinical presentation, and diagnostic features differentiating EoE from other mimickers like GERD. These procedures were carried out by a single pediatric gastroenterologist. The children had presented with GERD-like symptoms or dysphagia and were unresponsive to a trial of antireflux medication including prokinetics, antacids, and PPIs for 6-8 weeks. The diagnosis was confirmed as EoE on the basis of endoscopic findings and consistent presence of esophageal eosinophilia (eosinophils >15/HPF) from different areas of the esophagus. After reviewing the full clinical details of all cases, the inclusion criteria for enrolling the children were: age less than 18 years; confirmed EoE with presence of >15/HPF eosinophils on esophageal biopsies; and non-responders to acid suppression therapy or negative pH studies if carried out. We excluded all those patients who had an esophageal eosinophil count <15/HPF, and who had other diseases like eosinophilic gastroenteritis, inflammatory bowel disease, and celiac disease. The major variables analyzed were age, gender, clinical presentation including history of allergy to any food, treatment history, family history, endoscopic findings with esophageal biopsies, pH monitoring if carried out, barium studies, and peripheral eosinophil counts.

Statistical analysis was carried out by using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Simple descriptive terms were used. Means and standard deviations (SD) were calculated for quantitative variables like age. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for qualitative variables like gender, clinical presentation, endoscopic, and histopathological findings. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant where applicable. The hospital internal review board and ethical committee approved this study. This study was conducted according to the principles of the Helsinki Declaration.

Results. A total of 229 children had undergone evaluation for gastroesophageal reflux-like symptoms, dysphagia, or food impaction and abdominal pain over 3 years. Out of these, 14 children were identified as having EoE, but 3 children were excluded because of having other disorders like celiac disease and eosinophilic gastroenteritis. Therefore, a net total of 11 (4.8%) remaining children were confirmed to have EoE by histopathology; esophageal biopsies showed >15 eosinophils/HPF. There were 8 males and 3 females with a mean age of 8.4 years (range 2-14 years). The major presentation was dysphagia followed by vomiting and epigastric pain. History of allergy to food was...
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Table 1 - Summary of clinical presentations, barium studies, endoscopic, and histopathological findings among pediatric patients with dysphagia and gastroesophageal reflux disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Age years</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>History of allergy/BA</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Eosinophil count (%)</th>
<th>Barium studies</th>
<th>Endoscopic findings</th>
<th>Histopathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Esophagitis with patchy white exudates</td>
<td>Basal cell hyperplasia, eosinophilic microabscesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>BA, allergic rhinitis, allergic to milk and nuts</td>
<td>Abdominal pain, vomiting, FTT</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Esophagitis, linear furrowing</td>
<td>Basal cell hyperplasia, eosinophilic microabscesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Allergic to egg and nuts</td>
<td>Dysphagia, vomiting</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Esophagitis, ringed appearance</td>
<td>Basal cell hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>BA, allergic to banana</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Esophagitis with patchy white exudates, stricture</td>
<td>Basal cell hyperplasia, eosinophilic microabscesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Food impaction</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Ringed appearance</td>
<td>Basal cell hyperplasia, elongation of lamina propria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Epigastric pain</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Basal cell hyperplasia, elongation of lamina propria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>BA, allergic to chocolate and nuts</td>
<td>Food impaction, vomiting</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Esophagitis, linear furrowing, stricture</td>
<td>Basal cell hyperplasia, neutrophil infiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Dysphagia, food impaction, vomiting</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Esophagitis, ringed appearance</td>
<td>Basal cell hyperplasia, ulceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Dysphagia, vomiting</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Patchy white exudates</td>
<td>Eosinophilic microabscesses, neutrophil infiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Dysphagia abdominal pain</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Esophagitis with erythema and few white specks</td>
<td>Basal cell hyperplasia, eosinophilic microabscesses, ulceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>BA, allergic rhinitis</td>
<td>Dysphagia vomiting</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Esophagitis with white exudates</td>
<td>Eosinophilic microabscesses, neutrophil infiltration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N - normal, BA - bronchial asthma, FTT - failure to thrive, ND - not done

found in 4 (36.3%), bronchial asthma in 5 (45.4%), and allergic rhinitis in 2 (18.1%). None of the children had a positive family history. Physical examination was unremarkable in all the children except one child who had failure to thrive. Peripheral eosinophilia was present in 4 (36.3%) children with a mean of 14.7% (range 7-28%). Barium contrast studies showed narrowing of the mid-esophagus but without any holdup of contrast in 2 children and normal findings in 7 children. Upper GI endoscopy findings of typical ringed (circular) appearance of the esophagus, and strictures are shown in Figure 1. One child had a normal endoscopic
examination of esophagus. Eosinophilic infiltration of the esophageal mucosa is shown in Figure 2. Other histological features of the esophagus included basal cell hyperplasia, eosinophilic microabscesses, neutrophilic infiltration of the mucosa, elongation of the lamina propria, and ulcerations. All the patients were managed with swallowed inhaled steroids, except 2 patients who were initially given systemic steroids. The clinical summary of these children is shown in Table 1. Unfortunately, pH measurements and immunological studies could not be performed in these children because of cost-effectiveness considerations in a private setup.

**Discussion.** The presence of eosinophils in the esophageal mucosa was once considered diagnostic for GERD. The exact prevalence of EoE is unknown in the underdeveloped as well as in the developed world, but a few studies from Western countries have reported it at 40-50/100,000 individuals in the general population. The exact prevalence in Saudi Arabia is not known, but a few case reports, and a clinical study have been published recently. Urban populations are more at risk than those in rural areas. It is more common in males for an unknown reason, and affects all age groups. This study showed the incidence of EoE to be 4.3% in children who underwent endoscopic procedures for GERD-like symptoms or dysphagia. There was a male predominance (72.7%) among children residing in Riyadh city.

The pathogenesis of EoE is not well understood, but there is an interplay between genetic and environmental factors in this disorder. Eosinophil recruitment in the gastrointestinal tract results from various mechanisms including inflammation, infections, gastroesophageal reflux, and food allergy. There has been a strong association between EoE and food allergy. Although many studies showed a higher incidence of allergic phenomena in these children, this study documented bronchial asthma in 45.5%, and allergy to multiple food items in 36.3%.

The presentation of EoE is variable in different age groups. Infants and toddlers may have refusal to feed, vomiting, or choking on food. This study revealed that infants and toddlers presented with failure to thrive, vomiting, and food impaction. Young children present with abdominal pain, dysphagia, and vomiting. Dysphagia and food impaction are the common presentations in adolescents in addition to central chest pain or discomfort. The diagnosis of EoE should be considered in non-responders to acid suppression medication for reflux-like symptoms.

Physical examination is typically unremarkable except in patients with failure to thrive in early childhood. The current study showed relatively older children having dysphagia, vomiting, and solid food impaction as the primary presentations in concordance with other studies.

The diagnosis of EoE rests mainly on the clinical manifestations, esophageal mucosal appearance on upper GI endoscopy, and histological findings of more than 15 eosinophils/HPF in the esophageal mucosa from distal as well as proximal areas. Patients with clinical symptoms including feeding dysfunction, abdominal pain, vomiting, dysphagia, and impaction of a food bolus need further evaluation to rule out GERD either with pH measurement or an empiric trial of high-dose of PPIs. Our patients had clinical presentations of dysphagia/impaction and/or GERD-like symptoms with classical endoscopic findings including ringed appearance, furrowing, and moderate to severe esophagitis, and a range of findings on esophageal biopsies similar to other studies in the literature. A normal endoscopic appearance does not rule out EoE.

Barium contrast study may also be helpful in delineating strictures due to EoE. The current practice of using endoscopic ultrasound to determine the thickness of the esophageal wall may also be an added advantage in the diagnosis. Blood tests include total IgE level, which is usually elevated in 50-60% of patients with EoE. Presence of peripheral eosinophilia, which is usually mild also supports EoE, and is evident in around 40-50% of patients. The present study showed peripheral eosinophilia in approximately 36.3% of children. Assessment by an allergist/immunologist is also suggested because of the strong association with allergies.

The optimal treatment for EoE has still not been defined, but there are certain areas that need to be addressed in this condition. Avoidance of triggering and offending food allergens with pharmacological intervention is the mainstay of management. An elemental diet has a good remission rate, but recurrence of symptoms is often seen with normal diet ingestion. This mode of treatment was not tried in any of our patients. Swallowed inhaled steroids either fluticasone or beclomethasone have been effective in ameliorating the symptoms and prevention of complications. All our patients were treated with high dose swallowed inhaled steroids at the start of therapy, and later were maintained on a low dose with good response. Systemic steroids, which used to be the drug of choice for these
children are seldom given these days because of their toxicity. Two of our patients were given systemic steroids because of concurrent exacerbations of bronchial asthma. Leukotriene inhibitors and biological agents like mepolizumab are other medical measures in use for EoE. 28 Esophageal strictures often require mechanical dilatation despite patients being managed with diet and topical steroids. Two of our patients required esophageal dilatation with pneumatic balloon dilators with good results.

Limitations of our study include the fact that it is retrospective; therefore, the potential effect of missing data could not be ruled out. This is a single center experience, so the results may not be generalizable to other settings. Children were diagnosed to have EoE among a group of children with dysphagia and GERD. Further prospective, randomized controlled studies are warranted to look for natural history, clinical presentation, and diagnostic tools to manage this emerging disease in the pediatric age group more effectively.

In conclusion, although our study needs further validation, it provides a solid foundation that eosinophilic esophagitis is an emerging disease in children and adolescents and should be considered as one of the top differential diagnoses in patients with gastroesophageal reflux-like symptoms in older children with dysphagia and food impaction.
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