Special anniversary issue

To the Editor

I spent last week and until now reading and re-reading most of the articles in Saudi Med J 2004; 25 Suppl 1: S2-S5. I must thank you for every word and idea in it. While reading Yaqub’s article I felt that there was something wrong in Figures 1 & 2, and would very much appreciate any clarification on how could countries such as Australia and the United Kingdom be considered among countries with less than 1000 publications per country, Figure 1, and the same for Figure 2. I hesitated a lot before commenting on your view of assessing every country according to its output of publications! May I say, that if one looks in depth at such publications, one would be shocked that even those meager numbers of publications are also much more meager and modest in their quality and content. Thus, the huge schism between the developed and underdeveloped worlds will become deeper and more difficult to approximate. I admired most or all of the articles, but let me especially mention that from Oman, Antony and Al-Hussaini and from Iran, Etemadi et al.

Sadek Pharaon
Formerly Prof of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Damascus Medical School
Damascus
Syria

Reply from the Editor

Thank for your letter, which is self-explanatory. There was a mistake in the printing of the figures and they should have appeared as follows.
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