Magnetic toy ingestion leading to jejunocecal fistula in a child
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ABSTRACT

The accidental ingestion of a foreign body is a common problem in children, but ingestion of magnets is rare. When multiple magnets are ingested, they may attract each other and cause pressure necrosis through the bowel walls and eventually lead to serious complications like obstruction, perforation, and fistula formation. We report a case of a 5-year-old girl with jejunocecal fistula following ingestion of 2 magnet toys; it highlights the diagnostic challenge and the need for early surgical intervention in children especially when multiple magnets are ingested.

Case Report. A 5-year-old, otherwise healthy girl presented with a history of ingestion of a piece of a magnetic toy. She visited the emergency room and was admitted to the hospital several times, and based on the x-ray findings suggesting the presence of only one piece (Figure 1a), she was discharged home with reassurance that the object would pass spontaneously. Two months later, she presented with abdominal pain and decreased appetite. A repeat x-ray confirmed the presence of 2 FBs (Figure 1b). Colonoscopy showed severe inflammation (Figure 2a), trials of extraction failed and laparotomy was performed. There was a jejunocecal fistula 40 cm from the duodenojejunal junction (Figure 2b). The FBs (Figure 2c), 2 oval, smooth, powerful, magnetic toys, each one measuring 3.8 x 1.3 cm were removed and repair of the bowel wall was carried out. Her postoperative course was uneventful, and she was discharged home on the sixth day postoperatively, and she had no complaints 4 month after the procedure.

Discussion. The incidence of FB ingestion is more than 100,000 patients annually in the United States alone. More than 80% of these occur in the pediatric population with 98% of these being accidental. Endoscopic retrieval is required in 10-20%. Most objects that reach the stomach will pass through the gastrointestinal tract spontaneously with no consequence. Less than 1% of objects ingested will require surgical intervention.1,2 Objects that require endoscopic or surgical removal are those that are large enough to cause obstruction, those that may cause trauma because of sharp edges, and those that may cause mucosal or transmural injury by caustic or mechanical forces.3 Ingestion of magnets

Foreign object ingestion is a common clinical problem in young children. Fortunately, most foreign bodies (FBs) usually cause no harm and spontaneously come out of the rectum without treatment. Although specific FBs such as sharp objects or batteries are well known to cause certain complications, the complications associated with magnetic FB ingestion are still underestimated. We report a case of jejunocecal fistula by ingested magnet toys to highlight the diagnostic challenges and the need for early surgical intervention in children.
by children has recently come under greater attention due to the death of a 2-year-old boy in Washington State, after swallowing pieces of the magnetized play set Magnetix (Rose Art Industries Inc., Livingston, NJ, USA) and recall of this play set by the US Consumer Product Safety Commission. Recent improvements in manufacturing processes have made small, powerful magnets inexpensive and readily available, increasing the potential for exposure of children to magnets in toys and other products. Ingestion of multiple magnets, or ingestion of one magnet and a metal component attracted to magnets, poses a unique health hazard. 

The pathogenesis of the complications is the attraction of 2 or more magnets across the walls of multiple loops of bowel. This causes ischemia and necrosis of the pinched bowel wall leading to ulceration and eventually perforation or fistulation. Magnet ingestion has resulted in obstruction, fistula formation, ulceration, perforation, volvulus of the small and large intestine and strangulation of adjacent loops of small bowel between the attracted segments. Liu et al enumerates in his report many cases of gastrointestinal fistulas reported recently in the literature. Both plain radiography and CT lack the sensitivity to determine the multiplicity of magnetic objects. A misdiagnosis can result from the false assumption that a solitary magnet is present. Therefore, at least 2 x-ray views should be performed, unlike in our case, where only one frontal view was carried out, which lead to misleading the diagnosis and delay in proper management. However, if the ingested FB is revealed on a plane radiograph and the position becomes fixed on serial radiographs, there should be suspicion of ingestion of multiple magnets. In multiple magnet ingestion, once symptoms of increasing abdominal pain or signs of intestinal obstruction or perforation develop then prompt exploratory laparotomy should be performed.

In our case, colonoscopy carried out prior to laparotomy revealed the attracting magnets associated with severe inflammation and granulation, which made the surgical option safer than a colonoscopy retrieval. Kim concluded in his report that, if the ingested multiple magnets are found to pass the pylorus on the abdominal radiographs, they should be removed immediately by laparotomy before any symptoms or complications occur to prevent severe complications.

In conclusion, multiple magnet ingestion, compared to a single magnet, is a distinct clinical situation that needs to be managed differently. Two x-ray views should be considered. Multiple magnet ingestion can lead to serious gastrointestinal complications, and awareness among clinicians and the public of the health hazards may improve the outcome.
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