Comment on: Laryngeal mask airway for general anesthesia in interventional neuroradiology procedures

To the Editor

I have read with interest the article Laryngeal mask airway for general anesthesia in interventional neuroradiology procedures. The objective of the study was to evaluate whether using laryngeal mask airway made a difference in terms of airway security, hemodynamic changes, complications, and recovery times compared to tracheal intubation during the procedure in patients undergoing general anesthesia for endovascular treatments of unruptured cerebrovascular aneurysms.1

If we go into the details of the article, the authors mainly concentrated on the hemodynamic changes pre and post intervention, which was his conclusion, rather than airway security. Therefore, this consider relatively misleading.

Second, they did not comment in the intention to treat analysis. In addition, no sample size calculated for the significant conclusion.

Lastly, they did not mention the total numbers of patient screened before enrolment, this is important for the applicability of the study in majority or minority only.
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Reply from the Author

No reply was received from the Author.
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