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ABSTRACT

Labial adhesion is a rare condition that is defined as complete or partial fusion of labia minora in the midline.1 Labial adhesions commonly occur in pre-pubertal girls with an incidence of 1.4%.2 There are a few reports in reproductive women. An extensive search of the literature revealed only 8 cases in the reproductive age. Although, its etiology is associated with hypooestrogenism, it may occur in the environment of normal estrogen levels.3-5 Such adhesions could also result following dermatitis, vaginitis, lichen sclerosis and trauma related to sexual abuse or fall.1,6,7 In this report, we had discussed the etiology and management of labial adhesion with current literature review.

Case Report. A 22-year-old girl was referred to our hospital for nearly complete labial adhesion in February 2008. She was evaluated with a thorough history, physical examination, urine analysis, renal function tests, and genitourinary ultrasonography. She attained menarche at the age of 12 years, and her primary complaints were difficulty voiding and lower abdominal pain due to temporary urinary retention during menstruation for 10 years. She did not declare any history of trauma, vulvar inflammation, sexual intercourse, or abuse. The physical examination was performed under the lithotomic position and detected no phallus or ambiguous genitalia. The labia major, perineum, and anus were identified as normal, but the labia minora, urethral meatus, and hymen were not visualized. A meatus was detected at the lower part of the vulva allowing passing of 8 Fr feeding tube (Figure 1a). There was no sign indicating hormonal deficiency; for example, hirsutism and her secondary sexual characteristics were well developed. For this reason, hormonal measurements were not performed. No pathologic finding was detected on urine analysis and for the renal functions. Uterus, cervix, and ovaries were detected as normal by ultrasonography and there was no urinary anomaly detected, for example, renal agenesis, hypoplasia, or ectopy. She was referred for cystoscopy and surgery under regional anesthesia. Firstly, cystoscopy was performed using a pediatric cystoscope. The hymen was identified as an annular ring and the urethral meatus was determined. Afterwards, the bladder was evaluated and no anomaly was detected. The labia minora were observed as conjoined with thick fibrous tissue in the midline. The labial adhesion was separated by sharp dissection and then the separated edges of the labia minora were sutured with absorbable suture material (Figure 1b). A Foley catheter was inserted into the bladder to prevent the contact of urine with the wound. The catheter was removed on the third postoperative day, and then she was discharged from hospital without any problems. No medication (for example, topical estrogen) was given additionally. At the sixth postoperative month, she was very satisfied.
Labial adhesion is defined as the partial or complete fusion of the labia minora. Labial adhesion is also called labial fusion, vulvar fusion, occlusion of the vestibule, and agglutination of the labia minora. It may be congenital or acquired. In patients with a congenital condition, this may be associated with ambiguous genitalia, hypoplastic kidney and microperforate hymen. Its occurrence is typically limited to prepubertal girls, usually below 6 years of age. Labial adhesion also occurs in postmenopausal women, and very rarely, during the reproductive years. There are a few reports about reproductive women, and the reported causes were associated with poor hygiene, dermatitis, nonspecific or candidal vaginitis, herpes simplex, trauma due to fall, female circumcision and lichen sclerosis. Patients with labial adhesion may be asymptomatic or have urinary symptoms such as urinary retention, urinary tract infection, pain, or altered urinary stream. The diagnosis is established upon visual inspection of the vulva. Initial treatment of prepubertal patients with labial adhesions traditionally consists of estrogen creams with gentle traction. Additionally, it was recently reported that betamethasone cream appears to be a safe and effective treatment of prepubertal labial adhesions. Initial comparison of topical estrogen and betamethasone treatment of labial fusion suggests that betamethasone may separate fusion quicker with less recurrence and fewer side effects than topical estrogen therapy.

Surgical lysis of labial adhesions is usually reserved for refractory cases unresponsive to conservative therapy. In this case, the cause of labial adhesion was likely associated with hypoestrogenism in the intrauterine and neonatal period, because her labial adhesion was nearly complete. Despite her complaints and many visits to the physicians for many years, she was never examined gynecologically. This may have caused the delayed diagnosis. Our treatment choice for this patient was surgical, because her labial adhesion comprised thick fibrous tissue. We did not need to give local estrogen treatment because she was a post-pubertal girl and her secondary sexual characters were well developed.

In conclusion, gynecological examination is necessary for evaluation of voiding symptoms. Otherwise, some disorders (for example, labial adhesion, meatal stenosis) may not be recognized and carry forward to the reproductive age. Also, physical examination, genitourinary ultrasonography, and cystoscopy are sufficient for evaluation if secondary sexual characters are normal. Cystoscopy must be performed under regional or general anesthesia, thus labial adhesion can be separated simultaneously if cystoscopy is normal.
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